

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 06/27/2003 P-1725-1 7444 10/609,072 Hector Goulet **EXAMINER** 23413 7590 05/03/2004 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 3654

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						\sim \perp	
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		\bigvee	
		10/609,07	' 2	GOULET, HECTO	GOULET, HECTOR		
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit			
		Emmanue	l M Marcelo	3654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion app ars on the	cover sh t with	th correspond nc ac	Idress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and within the state by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a repl utory minimum of thirty (ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this o	ely. communication	1.	
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n .	•				
2a)□	•						
3)							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 and 16-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 12-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	003 is/are: a)⊠ and to the drawing(s) be correction is requir	e held in abeyance ed if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(c	i).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Appents have been re e 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	et (s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-semation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO ter No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/03.		Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)	·	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species I Figures 1-4, 5A, 5B;
- Species II Figures 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B;
- Species III Figures 5A, 5B, 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claims 1-8 and 12-15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 3654

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Victor Libert on April 29, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-8 and 12-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-11 and 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 6,659,429 to Shoji.

With respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 13, Shoji discloses a body 3; a shaft 11 rotatably supported by the body, the shaft having a longitudinal axis; a power-operated driver (26A or 26B) connected to the shaft; and a power connector 11A; and a guide member 21 perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the shaft.

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent no. 4,311,288 to Galland in view of U.S. Patent no. 5,692,735 to Aho et al.

Galland discloses the strap winding mechanism mounted on a truck. Galland does not disclose the strap winding mechanism being powered. Aho et al teach a winding mechanism attached to a vehicle and powered by the vehicle's power steering system. This powering system provides much more power over a manually powered system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Galland with a motor powered winding machine as taught by Aho et al to provide more pulling power.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-6597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> won Mant Emmanuel M Marcelo **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3654

emm April 29, 2004